

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,240	09/17/2003	Felix C. Fernandes	TI-35515	3710
	7590 01/12/2007 LIMENTS INCORPORAT	EXAMINER		
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			CZEKAJ, DAVID J	
DALLAS, TX	75265	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	01/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/664,240	FERNANDES, FELIX C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dave Czekaj	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims	,	•				
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1, step (f) refers to the results of step (e). However no step (e) is found in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fimoff (6665344) in view of Eifrig et al. (5991447), (hereinafter referred to as "Eifrig").

Regarding claim 1-2, Fimoff discloses an apparatus that relates to a downconverting decoder (Fimoff: column 1, lines 7-9). This apparatus comprises "receiving encoded motion-compensated video including motion vectors and DCT blocks" (Fimoff: figure 1), "for frame DCT blocks, downsampling in the frequency domain with respect to both the vertical dimension and horizontal dimension" (Fimoff: column 17, lines 40-50), "for field DCT blocks, downsampling with respect to the horizontal dimension" (Fimoff: column 17, lines 40-50. The examiner notes that Fimoff downsamples in both the horizontal and vertical directions for the field DCT blocks, however, the claim language does not preclude the vertical subsampling), "applying inverse motion estimation" (Fimoff: figures 10 and 15; column 10, lines 35-65, wherein

Art Unit: 2621

the motion estimation performs the inverse motion estimation. The examiner notes that it is well known within the art to reuse motion vectors), and "repeating the steps for all blocks and encoding the results" (figures 1-3). However, this apparatus lacks averaging the top and bottom fields after downsampling as claimed. Eifrig teaches that it would be desirable to have an efficient technique for providing motion vector predictors for a macroblock (Eifrig: column 2, lines 5-12). To help alleviate this need, Eifrig discloses "averaging top and bottom field blocks" (Eifrig: column 12, lines 19-29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the apparatus disclosed by Fimoff and add the averaging taught by Eifrig in order to obtain an apparatus that correctly performs downsampling by taking a better sample of field DCT blocks.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US-6920179

07-2005

Anand et al.

-- shows the reuse of motion vectors is well known within the art

US-6907077

06-2005

Yamada

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Czekaj whose telephone number is (571) 272-7327. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/664,240 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DJC

MEHRDAD DASTOURI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2600